

Response to the Letter *The Pentagon Attack in Context: a Reply to John Wyndham* published in the *Journal of 9/11 Studies*, November 2012

By John D. Wyndham

In a letter¹ to the Journal of 9/11 Studies, published in November, 2012, Tod Fletcher and Timothy E. Eastman give a critique of my paper, *The Pentagon Attack: Problems with Theories Alternative to Large Plane Impact*.² This letter is to inform Journal readers that a comprehensive response by this author to the Fletcher and Eastman letter exists, and can be found on the Scientists for 9/11 Truth website.

The title of my response is *The Pentagon Attack: Eyewitnesses, Debris Flow and Other Issues – A Reply to Fletcher and Eastman*, March, 2016. It can be found at this link:

http://www.scientistsfor911truth.org/docs/Eyewitnesses_DebrisFlow_FandE_Mar4_2016.pdf

This comprehensive response to Fletcher and Eastman's letter discusses in detail the following topics:

- The analysis by Jerry Russell of his "31 witnesses" to plane impact, an analysis cited by David Ray Griffin in his book *9/11 Ten Years Later* (Chapter 7, p. 173, Olive Branch Press, 2011).
- The plane impact effects as represented by "a violent flow through the [Pentagon] structure of a 'fluid' consisting of aviation fuel and solid fragments."
- The Pentagon interior damage as described by eyewitnesses and seen in photographs taken in the aftermath of plane impact.
- The kinetic energy of the plane and its contents with respect to the energy needed to do the observed damage.
- Other previously unaddressed issues such as the apparent absence of major damage from the plane's tail, the event time, the very low altitude approach of the plane, and the questions of importance, divisiveness, and proof regarding research on the Pentagon event.
- Distortions in the Fletcher and Eastman letter.
- David Griffin's contradictions of the 757 theory.
- The testimony of the taxi driver, Lloyd England.
- Pentagon evidence eyewitness summaries.

Please note also that my response as well as a revised version of my original "Theories Alternative" paper can be found on the Scientific Method 9/11 website in the Pentagon section.³

¹ *The Pentagon Attack in Context: a Reply to John Wyndham* by Tod Fletcher and Timothy E. Eastman, Letter to Journal of 9/11 Studies, November, 2012, <http://www.journalof911studies.com/resources/2012NovemberFletcherEastman.pdf>

² *The Pentagon Attack: Problems with Theories Alternative to Large Plane Impact*, December 2011 <http://www.journalof911studies.com/volume/2010/Wyndham1.pdf>

³ Scientific Method 9/11 website: <http://www.scientificmethod911.org/pentagon.html>